$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	GREGORY M. FOX, STATE BAR NO. 070876 Arlene C. Helfrich, State Bar No. 096461 Bertrand, Fox & Elliot	
3	The Waterfront Building - 2749 Hyde Street San Francisco, California 94109	
4	Telephone: (415) 353-0999 FAX (415) 353-0990	
5	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND and POLICE CHIEF RICHARD WORD	
6	(List of Additional Counsel Attached)	
7		
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	LOCAL 10, INTERNATIONAL LONGSHORE)	Ella Na Na C 02 2062 TEH (H.)
12	AND WAREHOUSE UNION, et al.,)	File No. No. C 03-2962 TEH (JL)
13	Plaintiffs,) vs.)	Hon. Thelton E. Henderson
14) CITY OF OAKLAND; et al.,	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER
15 16	Defendants.	DISMISSING THE ENTIRE ACTION ON BEHALF OF ALL NAMED PLAINTIFFS AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD,
17	,	WITH PREJUDICE
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	
2	JAMES B. CHANIN, SBN 76043 JULIE M. HOUK, SBN 114968
3	LAW OFFICES OF JAMES B. CHANIN 3050 Shattuck Avenue
4	Berkeley, California 94705 Telephone: (510) 848-4752
5	FAX (510) 848-5819
6	JOHN L. BURRIS, SBN 69888
7	7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120 Oakland, CA 94621
8	Telephone: (510) 839-5200
9	FAX (510) 839-3882
	RACHEL LEDERMAN, SBN 130192 NATIONAL LAWYERS GUILD and
10	Law Offices of Rachel Lederman and Alexsis C. Beach 558 Capp Street
11	San Francisco, CA 94110
12	Telephone:(415) 282-9300 FAX (415) 285-5066
13	ALAN L. SCHLOSSER, SBN 49957
14	JULIA HARUMI MASS SBN 189649 MARK SCHLOSBERG, SBN 209144
15	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN
16	CALIFORNIA, 1663 Mission Street, Suite 460 San Francisco, CA 94103
17	Telephone: (415) 621-2493 FAX (415) 255-8437
18	Counsel for Plaintiffs Local 10 Longshore and Warehouse Union et al. C03-2962 THE (JL)
19	Cos 2502 THE (92)
20	John A. Russo Esq. State Bar No. 129729 City Attorney
21	Randolph W. Hall, Esq. State Bar No. 080142
22	Chief Asst. City Attorney Office of the City Attorney
	One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor Oakland CA 94612
23	Telephone: (510) 238-3601 FAX (510) 238-6500
24	17AA (310) 230-0300
25	Counsel for defendant CITY OF OAKLAND et al
26	
27	

28

STIPULATION

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties, ALL NAMED PLAINTIFFS, BY AND WITH THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD, and the Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND, RICHARD WORD, PATRICK HAW, ROD YEE, E. POULSON, HOWARD A. JORDAN, DAVE KOZICKI, T. HOGENMILLER, E. TRACEY, R. GUTIEREZ, A. OERLEAMNS, R. HOLMGREN, P. GONZALES, S. KNIGHT, M. RANDALL, R. CHAN, R. OROZCO, G. BELLUSA, P. HUPPERT, J. SCOTT, J. KURZROCK, M. MACK, J. KELLY, OFFICER, SOUZA, J. MUSCHI, S. JOHNSON, OFFICER KARSABOOM, SGT. G. TOLLESON, SGT. D. CAMPBELL, OFF. R. MOORE, OFF. A. STEINBERGER, OFF. F. UU, OFF. B. WORDEN, OFF. J. FUKADA, OFF. J. DOOLITTLE, OFF. C. SAUNDERS, OFF. E. ROMANS and OFF. C. DELROSARIO, through their designated counsel that the above-captioned action entitled Local 10, International Longshore and Warehouse Union, et al., vs. City of Oakland, et al, and numbered C 03-2962 TEH (JL), be and hereby is dismissed with prejudice pursuant to FRCP 41(a)(1), as to all of the claims of all named plaintiffs as to all claims including attorneys fees related to the declaratory and injunctive relief causes of action, pursuant to the settlement agreement entered into between the parties.

The parties hereto acknowledge that, pursuant to the Stipulation and Order Approving Partial Settlement of Plaintiffs' Claims For Injunctive Relief, signed by the Court on December 24, 2004 and entered on the docket on December 28, 2004, (hereinafter, Injunctive Relief Stipulation and Order) the Court retains jurisdiction of this matter after these claims are dismissed to enforce the terms of the Injunctive Relief Stipulation and Order, to resolve any disputes that may arise between the parties concerning the matters set forth in the Injunctive Relief Stipulation and Order. The parties further acknowledge that pursuant to the Injunctive Relief Stipulation and Order, this Court retains jurisdiction for three (3) years from the date of filing of the Injunctive Relief Stipulation and Order (through December 27, 2007) with the proviso that within that three year time period any party may move the court to extend the time for up to an additional 24 months if there is a material breach of the terms of the Injunctive Relief Stipulation and Order.

1	1 SO STIPULATED.		
2	2		
3	3 Dated: June 14, 2006		
4	4	/s/	
5	JAMES B.		
	Attorney to	or Plaintiffs in Local 10, et al.	
6	and all plai	of himself, all of his co-counsel ntiffs in the Local 10 action	
7		number in the Both To ution	
8	8		
9			
10	10 GREGORY		
11	11	or Defendants City of Oakland et al.	
12	12 I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph s	ionatures for any sionatures indicated by a	
13	"conformed" signature (/s/) within this E-filed document.		
14	D . 1 1 27 2006		
15	GREGORY	Y M. FOX	
13			
16	16		
16	16 ORDER		
17	16 ORDER 17	nd good cause appearing therefore	
17 18	16 17 18 Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, and	nd good cause appearing therefore	
17 18 19	ORDER 17 18 Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, and 19 THIS COURT ORDERS THAT the entire action		
17 18 19 20	ORDER 17 18 Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, and 19 THIS COURT ORDERS THAT the entire action 20 named defendants shall be and hereby is dismissed with	n by all named plaintiffs against the above	
17 18 19 20 21	ORDER Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, and Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, and THIS COURT ORDERS THAT the entire action and and defendants shall be and hereby is dismissed with and subject to this Court's retention of jurisdiction as our	n by all named plaintiffs against the above prejudice pursuant to the parties' stipulation	
17 18 19 20	17 18 Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, and 19 THIS COURT ORDERS THAT the entire action 19 20 named defendants shall be and hereby is dismissed with 19 21 and subject to this Court's retention of jurisdiction as out 19 22	n by all named plaintiffs against the above prejudice pursuant to the parties' stipulation at lined above.	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	17 18 Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, and 19 THIS COURT ORDERS THAT the entire action 20 named defendants shall be and hereby is dismissed with 21 and subject to this Court's retention of jurisdiction as our 22 BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS STORY	n by all named plaintiffs against the above prejudice pursuant to the parties' stipulation at lined above.	
17 18 19 20 21 22	17 18 Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, and 19 THIS COURT ORDERS THAT the entire action 20 named defendants shall be and hereby is dismissed with 21 and subject to this Court's retention of jurisdiction as our 22 BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS STORY	n by all named plaintiffs against the above prejudice pursuant to the parties' stipulation at lined above.	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	17 18 Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, and 19 THIS COURT ORDERS THAT the entire action 20 named defendants shall be and hereby is dismissed with 21 and subject to this Court's retention of jurisdiction as our 22 BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS STATES DISTRICT	n by all named plaintiffs against the above prejudice pursuant to the parties' stipulation at lined above.	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, and THIS COURT ORDERS THAT the entire action named defendants shall be and hereby is dismissed with and subject to this Court's retention of jurisdiction as out and subject to this court and subject to this court and subject to t	n by all named plaintiffs against the above prejudice pursuant to the parties' stipulation at lined above.	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, and THIS COURT ORDERS THAT the entire action named defendants shall be and hereby is dismissed with and subject to this Court's retention of jurisdiction as out BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS STATES DISTRICTORY ORDER Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, and the parties are action named defendants shall be and hereby is dismissed with and subject to this Court's retention of jurisdiction as out and subject to this Court's retention of jurisdiction as out and parties are action of jurisdiction are action of jurisdiction and parties are action of jurisdiction as out and parties are action of jurisdiction areaction of jurisdiction are action of jurisdiction are action of	n by all named plaintiffs against the above prejudice pursuant to the parties' stipulation atlined above.	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, and THIS COURT ORDERS THAT the entire action named defendants shall be and hereby is dismissed with and subject to this Court's retention of jurisdiction as ou BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS STATES DISTRICT OT/27/06 DATE	by all named plaintiffs against the above prejudice pursuant to the parties' stipulation at lined above. SO ORDERED. ELTON E. HENDERSON	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, and THIS COURT ORDERS THAT the entire action named defendants shall be and hereby is dismissed with and subject to this Court's retention of jurisdiction as out BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS STATES DISTRICTORY ORDER Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, and the parties are action named defendants shall be and hereby is dismissed with and subject to this Court's retention of jurisdiction as out and subject to this Court's retention of jurisdiction as out and parties are action of jurisdiction are action of jurisdiction and parties are action of jurisdiction as out and parties are action of jurisdiction areaction of jurisdiction are action of jurisdiction are action of	by all named plaintiffs against the above prejudice pursuant to the parties' stipulation at lined above. SO ORDERED. ELTON E. HENDERSON	